

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/804,083

Applicant(s)

NOSAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas H Parsons

Art Unit

1745

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Parsons.

(3) _____.

(2) Murat Ozgu.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 June 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: NA.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Ozgu was contacted to verify the status of the instant application. The applicants have instructed Attorney Ozgu to abandon the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required